

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,275	11/03/2003	Oscar E. Agazzi	15005US04	4513
23446	7590 01/10/2006		EXAMINER	
	EWS HELD & MALI	CORRIELUS, JEAN B		
SUITE 3400	MADISON STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,			2637	
			DATE MAILED: 01/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1.C		
•	10/700,275	AGAZZI, OSCAR	E.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jean B. Corrielus	2637			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress		
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 N 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final.		merits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 43-48 and 50-54 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn f 5) Claim(s) 52-54 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 43-48,50 and 51 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	rom consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposite and any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	, ,		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(c)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite,	-152)		

Art Unit: 2637

Specification

- 1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 2. The objection to claims 43-51 and 52-54 has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The 112 second paragraph rejection of claims 47-54 has been withdrawn.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/700,275 Page 3

Art Unit: 2637

5. Claims 43-48, 50 and 51 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 3-7, 9 and 10 of U.S. Patent
No. 6,363,129. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably
distinct from each other because the invention as claimed in claim 43 of the pending
application is fully encompassed the claim 4 of the patent except for the fact that the
claim of the patent does not recite receiving a plurality of transmitted signals and
generated that phase control signals associated with each transmitted signals.
However, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to configure applicant's
claimed invention in such a way as to receive transmitted signals and to generate phase
control signals for each of said transmitted signals so as to process signals from
external sources such as remote transmitting stations.

Claim 44 and claim 45 are encompassed by claim 3, respectively.

Claim 46 is encompassed by claim 5.

Claim 47 is encompassed by claim 6.

Claim 48 is encompassed by claim 7.

Claim 50 is encompassed by claim 9.

Claim 51 is encompassed by claim 10. the same comment applies to claim 43 applies to each of claims 44-48, 50 and 51.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 52-54 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/700,275 Page 4

Art Unit: 2637

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean B. Corrielus whose telephone number is 571-272-3020. The examiner can normally be reached on Maxi-Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on 571-272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 2637